# Report To CLIAC on SACGT

Patricia Charache, M.D. September, 12, 2002

# SACGT Report September 12, 2002

The Charter for SACGT expired in August.

 DHHS has decided not to renew the charter of SACGT

#### **Format of This Presentation**

- Summarize the premise and outputs of SACGT in areas in which its activities relate to issues that impact directly on quality of patient care laboratory practices.
- (The body of SACGT's activities involved areas not in CLIAC's purview.)

#### **Background Premise**

- Over 800 genetic tests now exist, (577 in CLIA approved labs, 368 in research labs). Most target rare genetic disorders; others are being developed.
- These tests have multiple uses, e.g. newborn screening, carrier screening, predictive testing, disease diagnosis or prognosis, pharmacogenetics.
- Some, especially predictive tests, raise sensitive medical, social, ethical, and legal issues.

#### **SACGT Charter**

- Advise the Secretary on all aspects of the development and use of genetic tests. Includes
  - safe and effective incorporation of genetic technologies into health care
  - assessing the effectiveness of existing and future measures for oversight of genetic tests, and
  - identifying research needs related to the Committee's purview.

#### Accomplishments

- Recommendations By SACGT (7/00) There is a need:
  - To improve the oversight of genetic tests
  - For Federal legislation to prevent discrimination in insurance and employment
  - Study the effect of gene patents and licensure
  - Study further the issue of informed consent of third parties in human research subjects.

# Recommendations Pertaining to Adequacy of Oversight of Genetic Tests (Continued)

- The FDA should regulate laboratory developed genetic tests ("home brews"), using an innovative, flexible approach
- CLIA should be augmented to incorporate specific provisions for genetic testing laboratories
- Private-public collaborations are needed to ensure continued analysis of post market data

### Definitions: (PC痴)

- <u>Analytical Validity</u>: Primarily concerned with ability to accurately measure a given analyte.
- <u>Clinical validity</u>: Ability to separate clinical disease from no disease or risk of disease through measuring that analyte.
- <u>Clinical utility</u>: Clinical validity plus full knowledge of test, including gene penetrance, etc.significance in populations to be tested.

# Ongoing SACGT Considerations: Oversight

Who is responsible

Activity IRB CLIA FDA
Research (development) X

Research, (validated analytically, clinically)

limited patient reports X X

Wide use patient reports, +/- X X fully validated,

+/-continued research

# Ongoing SACGT Activities: Work Groups and Task Forces

- Pursued recommendation issues
- Established work groups for additional issues related to other aspects of testing
  - Education
  - IRB/Consent
  - Rare Diseases
  - Access
  - Data collection, clinical utility information

### **Education Work Group**

- Assess the adequacy of current efforts to advance genetics education of health professionals
- Year-long data gathering and fact finding; educational summit in Baltimore, May, 2002.

Issues: For appropriate pre- and post-analytical aspects of testing, educated users are required. Laboratory Directors, IRB's, clinicians, others need knowledge base.

### Consent/IRB Work Group

- A brochure was developed to explain genetic testing and informed consent to the public
- White paper was under development on principles of informed consent, defining levels of consent, and consent recommendations for various types of genetic tests

Laboratory Issues: Who decides level of consent What is the laboratory類 role in assuring patient consent?

### Rare Disease Testing Work Group

- Definition of a rare genetic disease
- Developmental and practice incentives
- Special access issues
- Quality assurance and validation assistance for research laboratories testing for rare diseases.

<u>Issues</u>: Limited test sites, mainly research labs, home brew tests if limited industrial interest, no proficiency tests, patent issues

### **Access Work Group Discussions**

- Reimbursement for:
  - Test cost
  - Genetic education and counseling
  - Other professional services
  - Non-reimbursed laboratory costs
- Health care disparities
- Gene patents and licensing:
  - Value for industrial interest in development
  - Issue for access and quality assurance

### Data Work Group

- Goal: To improve knowledge of the disease and the clinical validity and utility of a test
- Needs: Improved post market data collection, access to data, resources for data organization, and analysis.
   Both clinical and laboratory data are required
- Survey of HHS activities to advance knowledge of clinical validity and utility (translational research)

<u>Lab Issues</u>: Who is to provide the data and how? Privacy? Cost? Definitions of a test, etc.

# Additional Concerns Supportive of CLIAC's Reports

- Waived tests (of major concern as they apply to genetic testing because of pre- and post analytical considerations)
- CMS study of laboratories performing waived tests

# Summary

**SACGT** recommendations and considerations:

- Oversight functions, including FDA review of tests, template approach and enhanced CLIA
- Additional subject matter covered by work groups and task forces: Education, IRB/Consent, Rare Diseases, Access, Data Work Group
- Other issues: Patent issues; (Waived tests, CMS findings of Waived testing laboratories)

#### **Outstanding Issues**

- Classification of laboratory oversight responsibilities, clarifying when CLIA applies to research facilities
- Provision of education/guidance documents for IRB's, and/or research laboratories interested in patient care
- Oversight of laboratory developed tests: CMS and deemed status organization feasible assessment instruments for analytical and clinical validation (not full clinical utility).

#### **Outstanding Issues**

- Informed consent issues, (check off box on lab requests?)
- Reimbursement for laboratory expenses associated with clinical user discussions.
- Education of Laboratory Directors and Technical Supervisors specific to genetic testing
- Consideration of result implications in test categorization decisions, e.g. waived vs. other .